## Comment Set C.126: Deborah A. Margraves

TO: the California Public Utilities Commission

FROM: Deborah A. Margraves 39930 87 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St West
Leona Valley, Ca 93551

REGARDING: PROPOSED ROUTING OF NEW POWER LINES THROUGH LEONA VALLEY

Dear Commission Members;
I am writing this letter in protest of proposed pathways through Leona Valley and West Palmdale for upcoming Southern California Edison power lines. The routes proposed by the Angeles National Forest, skirting around their land and impacting my neighborhood are unacceptable.

First, proposal \# 5 would have a negative fiscal impact on several hundred million dollars worth of private land and would negatively impact thousands of residents of Leona Valley, Acton and Aqua Dulse. All of the other proposed routes by the Forest Service would have negative impacts on local communities as well, and all would cost Southern California Edison additional construction funds to re-route the lines. This is in contrast to the route along side existing lines already in place in the forest, which would have no additional negative impact on the communities.

Second, Southern California Edison has already proposed placing the new power lines next to already existing routes. This would have less impact than that proposed by the Forest Service, and would be easier for Edison to accomplish, thereby aiding the Santa Clarita communities the power is intended for.

Third, the proposed routes would have a negative impact on fire fighting efforts in the community, as they would cross routes used by fire fighting airships and also routes used by ground based equipment to access homes in the area.

I would also recommend exploring an underground route adjacent to or underneath the Antelope Valley Freeway (Highway 14). This would minimize the environmental impact (both visual and to groundwater) and would ease maintenance issues, as the entire route is easily accessible.

Sincerely,

## Response to Comment Set C.126: Deborah A. Hargraves

C.126-1 The comments are the same as submitted for Comment Set C.125. See response to Comments C. 125-1, 2, 3, \& 4 .

